

world wind energy association

SUSTAINABILITY AND DUE DILIGENCE GUIDELINES Draft july 2004



table of contents

Int	roduction	2
1.1	Purpose of these guidelines	3
W	WEA Policy	3
2.1	Commitment to sustainable development	3
Th	e Role of Governments and Regulatory Frameworks	4
3.1	Governments and sustainability	4
3.2	National and regional energy policies	4
3.3	Options assessment	4
3.4	Promoting wind power in energy production	5
Op	tions evaluation and risk assessment	5
4.1	Evaluation of alternative energy options	5
4.2	Due diligence assessment of on-shore and off-shore wind energy systems	10
	4.2.1 Legal & institutional arrangements	15
Ma	unaging Environmental Outcomes	15
5.1	Optimising environmental outcomes for wind power schemes	15
	5.1.1 Environmental assessment (EA) principles	15
	5.1.2 Environmental management systems	17
Ma	anaging Social Outcomes	17
6.1	The role for stakeholder and community consultation	17
6.2	Gaining community acceptance - managing social impacts	17
6.3	Gaining community acceptance - outcomes for new developments	17
6.4	Gaining community acceptance - strategies to achieve proposed outcomes	18
Ma	anaging Economic Outcomes	19
7.1	Institutional framework	19
7.2	Identifying costs and benefits	19
7.3	Allocation of benefits	20
W	WEA Member Commitment to Sustainability	20
Bił	oliography	21
	 Int 1.1 WV 2.1 Th 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 Op 4.1 4.2 Ma 5.1 Ma 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 Ma 7.1 7.2 7.3 WV Bit 	Introduction 1.1 Purpose of these guidelines WWEA Policy 2.1 Commitment to sustainable development The Role of Governments and Regulatory Frameworks 3.1 Governments and sustainability 3.2 National and regional energy policies 3.3 Options assessment 3.4 Promoting wind power in energy production Orbions evaluation and risk assessment Image: State St

1 INTRODUCTION

Access to modern energy services is a basic prerequisite for human development and prosperity. However, nearly one-third of the world's population has no access to electricity yet and is therefore excluded from elementary development opportunities.

At the same time as energy demand is projected to grow, climate change is becoming the world's most pressing environmental problem. The third International panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report concluded "human activities have increased the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases since the pre–industrial era, leading to global warming". Indeed the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has called for cuts in CO_2 emissions of between 60-70% by the end of the 21st Century.

Fossil and nuclear resources are also facing significant constraints and even depletion within the next decades. This will lead to serious problems for many countries to secure their energy supply based on these resources. The production of fossil oil may even be peaking within a short time-span while demand will continue to increase.

This clearly suggests that current patterns of energy supply and demand are unsustainable. We are living beyond environmental limits and the root cause is primarily mankind's ever-increasing demand for energy – energy supplied in the main from the burning of fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal – and of uranium.

This seems to present a dichotomy. On the one hand there is a need to increase the availability of energy to cut poverty and facilitate economic activity. On the other increases in CO_2 emissions are leading to climate change and the manifold

risks of nuclear power are threatening mankind. Against this background, non-depleting renewable energy resources, such as wind, have a vital role to play. In the long run, the global energy system can only work when completely based on renewable resources. To achieve this goal, the consequent shift towards renewable energies has to be started immediately.

The World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) in Johannesburg in September 2002 noted that "with a sense of urgency, (there is a need to) substantially increase the global share of renewable energy resources with the objective of increasing its contribution to total energy supplies."

The International Conference for Renewable Energies in Bonn (June 2004) stated that "renewable energies combined with enhanced energy efficiency, can significantly contribute to sustainable development, to providing access to energy, especially for the poor, to mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, reducing harmful air pollutants, thereby creating new economic opportunities, and enhancing energy security through cooperation and collaboration..... Ministers and Government Representatives..... reaffirm their commitment to substantially increase with a sense of urgency the global share of renewable energy in the total energy supply. They share the vision that renewable energies, combined with increased energy efficiency, will become a most important and widely available source of energy and will offer new opportunities for cooperation among all countries."

In the long-term only a 100 % renewable energy supply, when following certain rules, will be able to provide all human beings with sufficient energy in a sustainable way.

1.1 Purpose of these guidelines

The World Wind Energy Association (WWEA) has produced these guidelines to promote greater consideration of environmental, social and economic aspects in the sustainability assessment of new wind projects. The guidelines are also relevant to the management and operation of existing wind power schemes. Thorough sustainability assessments should ensure that detrimental social and environmental impacts are avoided, mitigated or compensated. Of necessity, the principles articulated in these guidelines are generic since each project will have its own unique set of circumstances influenced by scale, geographic location, social, legal and political constructs. The guidelines will need to be adapted to the specific context of each particular project.

The principles outlined in this document span the following six elements. Supporting comment provides further guidance where necessary:

- WWEA Policy
- The role of governments and regulatory frameworks
- · Options evaluation and risk assessment
- Managing environmental outcomes
- · Managing social outcomes
- · Managing economic outcomes

The principles have been drafted to assist wind power developers and operators with the evaluation and management of often competing environmental, social and economic issues that arise in the assessment, operation and management of wind power projects.

2 wwea policy

2.1 Commitment to sustainable development

The WWEA regards sustainable development as a fundamental component of social responsibility and participation, sound business practice and natural resource management.

Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987). Sustainable development requires the integration of three components - economic development, social development and environmental protection - as interdependent, mutually reinforcing pillars. Eradicating poverty, changing unsustainable patterns of production and consumption, and protecting and managing the natural resource base underpinning economic and social development are overarching objectives of, and essential requirements for a sustainable future. Renewable energies, by definition, fulfil the sustainability criteria and will therefore deliver indispensable contributions to sustainable development.

WWEA recognises that sustainable development is the collective responsibility of government, business, civil society, consumers and individuals. It is committed to working cooperatively with these sectors in achieving sustainable outcomes.

3 the Role of Governments and Regulatory frameworks

3.1 Governments and sustainability

Good governance within each country, and at the international level, is an essential prerequisite for sustainable development. The World Summit on Sustainable Development Implementation Plan (2002) listed some key sustainability criteria. These include:

- sound environmental, social and economic policies,
- democratic institutions responsive to the needs of people,
- the rule of law,
- anti-corruption measures,
- gender equity and
- an enabling environment for investment.

Governments that establish and maintain sound environmental, social and economic policies create a foundational basis for the pursuit of sustainability. It is from this position - where clear and strong sustainability goals are identified - that governments can clearly establish the appropriate regulatory frameworks.

3.2 National and regional energy policies

A national energy policy is the responsibility of Government and should reflect a commitment to achieving sustainability goals and maintaining a strong dedication to the pursuit of renewable energy resources, based on best-practise experiences.

The WWEA encourages countries to have in place national and/or regional energy policies prioritizing renewable energies. Each jurisdiction should clearly set out its renewable energy development strategy so that the rules are known to all and arbitrary decisions are minimised. Basic requirements of renewable energy development and economics have to be regarded, like the provision of sufficient investment security. Clear national and/or regional policies established by governments contribute to the development of sound guidelines and frameworks that enable both government and industry to work together to achieve collective aims and encourages sustainable growth in energy resources.

3.3 Options assessment

National and/or regional energy policies should include a Strategic Assessment process. A Strategic Assessment should incorporate assessment of cumulative impacts, the determination of marine and land use effects and environmental priorities, as well as goals for economic growth. The policies should be framed in the context of the global need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, to secure domestic energy supply, to increase public and social participation and to promote therefore the uptake of clean renewable energy options. They should also incorporate the three elements of sustainability -- economic, social and environmental -- in energy planning.

A Strategic Assessment process allows the high level identification of environmental, social and economic concerns and the resolution of competing needs. This process is a mechanism by which sustainable development and global trends concerning environmental goals can be reconciled with the management and conservation of natural resources. It should be a participatory, streamlined process, focused on major issues, using common sense and readily available information, and with short and definite time limits for its completion. A comprehensive Strategic Assessment process applied by governments ensures that the best energy solutions are formulated and implemented for regions based on a 'best fit' energy policy, including a timeframe for the implementation of a 100% renewable energy scenario.

One of the potential benefits of going through a Strategic Assessment process is to reduce uncertainty for developers of wind energy projects by prioritizing energy supply options and preferences. Likewise, a Strategic Assessment process should help to define those land or offshore areas that are available for development and those that are off-limits. These may encompass, for example, areas of outstanding natural beauty, reserves or other areas of conservation significance. An adjunct to the Strategic Assessment process is for Governments to provide guidance ton which social costs are to be borne by the developer and which are a government responsibility. Project critics sometimes confuse the roles of the developer and those in government determining the policy and development agenda.

3.4 Promoting wind power in energy production

Greenhouse gas emissions have to be considered by governments in energy policy planning. There is no longer any reasonable doubt that greenhouse gas emissions are playing a key role in the increase, and acceleration, of global warming.

Against this background a continuing escalation in fossil fuel use will only make global warming worse. There is a growing international effort therefore to find alternative solutions that are in principle emission-free, but at the same time meet the energy needs of the developed and developing world.

Development of renewable energy resources is an essential part of the solution to this problem. In this context wind powered energy generation has a fundamental role to play. Use of wind for electricity generation is essentially a nonconsumptive use of a natural resource. It also produces zero greenhouse gas emissions, a feature that compares favourably when contrasted with alternative methods of electricity generation. Wind energy generation has a minimal environmental impact, and as a direct result energy policies formulated by governments need to reflect the wholesale benefit of wind energy in the pursuit of lower carbon emissions as well as increased economic, social and environmental sustainability. Government policies and frameworks need to reflect the positive benefits that wind energy resources have on environmental, social and economic sustainability. Regulations need to be structured to promote the uptake of renewable energy projects on land and offshore, and to establish a variety of ownership models including pay-for-itself solutions and equal and fair access to market conditions.

4 Options evaluation and Risk assessment

4.1 Evaluation of alternative energy options

WWEA believes that broad energy option assessment should be the responsibility of national and/or regional governments as part of their energy development and greenhouse planning strategy.

Governments and project proponents should apply sustainability criteria when comparing project alternatives in order to focus on options that maximise environmental, social and economic benefits and, conversely, eliminate unacceptable alternatives early in the planning process.

Each option is different and it is not always possible to directly compare one alternative with another. It is nevertheless important that relevant comparisons are made in relation to the basic sustainability of a project. This should also include the considerable benefits of integration with other renewable energy forms and storage systems. It should also be realized that an infinite variety of options is never available and fundamental factors such as resource availability and scale of requirements define the possible options that need to be assessed. The sustainability of an option is relevant to the environmental assessment and regulatory approval processes. Proponents need to demonstrate that their recommended option is sustainable and of net benefit to the community. To facilitate this, early engagement with relevant stakeholders on the comparative benefits of feasible options is recommended.

Table 1 below describes sustainability aspects in assessing new energy projects.

SU	JSTAINABILITY ASPECTS	CONSIDERATIONS	
1.	Demonstrated need for the project.	Assessments should be carried out by regulatory authorities (preferably as part of a Strategic Assessment process) or the proponent to demonstrate a need for the project.	
		These assessments should include:	
		• Evidence of likely future energy requirements	
		• Evaluation of a range of alternative energy options (including practicable efficiency measures) to meet those requirements, and	
		• Evidence that the project is the best option to meet those requirements.	
2.	Economic viability and planned	A high confidence of economic viability should be	
	monitoring of economic	demonstrated by a detailed cost-benefit analysis.	
	performance.	Plans should also be in place for ongoing monitoring	
		of economic performance.	
		The economic viability of each option needs to be	
		considered over the projected life of the facility.	
		Issues such as refurbishment and decommissioning	
		need to be considered when comparing options.	
		Wind turbines have relatively low decommissioning/	
		rehabilitation costs when compared with other	
		power generation options.	
3.	Availability and cost of resources	The availability and cost of fossil fuels may change	
	over the projected life of the facility.	over the operating life of a power station with	
		availability decreasing and cost increasing.	
		The reliability of the wind resource is a fundamental	
		consideration for wind power options.	

Table 1 Sustainability aspects in assessing new energy projects

 4. Appropriateness of the technology, levels of efficiency and service required. Projects should use appropriate and proven technology to maximise the benefits to be derived from use of a resource. Comparisons should be made on the efficiency of conversion and the flexibility and reliability of the product provided. The comparison should take account of the level of service required (e.g., some electric systems merequire peak load capacity while others may see stable base load). 	ed I. El nay k
Wind power projects generally need to be integra into larger power systems. The intermittent nature of the wind resource often requires supp from other types of generation or the integratio in combined local energy supply structures. Technological advances are allowing an increase contribution by wind power into electricity system	ort n ing ems.
5. Energy payback ratio. Energy payback ratio compares the energy produced during the normal lifespan of a powe plant with the energy required to build, maintai and fuel the generating equipment. A high energy payback ratio is preferred over a low one.	r n, ſgy
6. Distribution and sustainability of economic benefits. The project should provide economic benefits a the local affected community and broader region Plans should also be in place to monitor the future distribution and sustainability of economic benefits.	cross n. 1re efits.
7. Additional or multiple use benefits. Wind projects can provide benefits to the local community like additional income for farmers, I owner cooperatives and municipalities, upgrading transmission lines to improve reliability of service increased tourism.	ocal ng xe or
8. Poverty reduction through flow on Many energy development projects provide new	7
benefits to local communities via income through local ownership and generate jet	obs
employment, skills development, for the local population. These include direct a	nd
investment opportunities and indirect employment opportunities, both during	
well as expansion of the local skills base, capacit	v
building, investment opportunities and the bene	fits
of technology transfer.	
9. Maximise opportunities and benefits In general terms, wind projects do not pose	
for, and not posing significant significant threats to vulnerable social groups.	
unsolvable threats to, vulnerable However, any threats and opportunities need to	
social groups. be identified. Opportunities for local involvement	nt
need to be maximised, and projects that present	
significant threats to vulnerable social groups sh	ouild

Wind projects with full or partly local ownership will
more easily obtain local acceptance; projects that
have local community support should be favoured
over those that have widespread opposition.
Safety issues and hazards should be identified and
the risks (likelihood and consequences) evaluated.
Management measures should be planned to reduce
or eliminate risks.
An environmental impact assessment needs to be
undertaken for the project and it should:
thoroughly identify relevant issues
• include appropriate levels of stakeholder
consultation, and
 recommend effective and community and
regulator-supported mitigation strategies
and/or compensation measures.
In general terms wind power schemes and other
renewable energy projects have low carbon intensity
and low levels of greenhouse gas emissions.
This compares, for example, with coal-fired systems
that emit approximately 1000 tonnes of CO_2 per
GWh produced.
Waste products are a major sustainability issue
for fossil fuel and nuclear projects. Air emissions
can extend the environmental footprint of some
fossil fuel projects across national borders via acid
rain. Negative health effects can also result from
particulate and other emissions to air.
Dispessel of wests to tailings doma and radio active
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability.
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities.
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very large footprint, for example, the area affected by
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very large footprint, for example, the area affected by air emissions.
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very large footprint, for example, the area affected by air emissions.
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very large footprint, for example, the area affected by air emissions. The impact of the environmental footprint needs
Disposal of waste to tailings dams and radio-active waste repositories represents an inter-generational transfer of cost and environmental liability. The relatively dilute nature of renewable resources often means that these types of projects can have a large environmental footprint per unit of energy produced. Projects that are spread over large areas often have limited or easily mitigated environmental effects. Wind farms, for example, have limited impact on other land and marine use activities. Some fossil fuel-based projects can have a very large footprint, for example, the area affected by air emissions. The impact of the environmental footprint needs to be assessed in relation to the associated aquatic

16. Avoidance of exceptional natural and	Developers should make every effort to avoid, or	
human heritage sites.	reduce to a minimum, alterations to ecological.	
	cultural or heritage sites of exceptional national and	
	international value	
17. Level of impacts on rare, vulnerable	Potential impacts on rare, vulnerable or threatened	
or threatened species, maximising	species should be carefully assessed as part of the	
habitat restoration and protecting	decision-making process. Consideration of the	
high quality habitats.	creation of alternative habitats or the protection	
	of adjacent areas should be considered as part of	
	any mitigation program. Habitats are of varying	
	quality and priority should be given to protecting or	
	restoring higher quality habitats.	
	Significant damage to areas of high conservation	
	value (including critical habitat for endangered	
	species) should be avoided when adequate mitigation	
	or compensation is not feasible.	
18. Environmental management plans.	For new projects, evidence should be available of	
	effective planning for management of environmental	
	issues during construction and operation.	
19. Environmental management system.	New projects should have plans in place for the	
	implementation of a comprehensive and effective	
	environmental management system. The plans	
	should preferably include an intention to certify the	
	system to an international standard, (e.g. ISO 14001).	
20. Regulatory compliance.	All relevant regulatory compliance issues should	
	have been identified. Plans should be in place, as	
	part of overall or specific management systems,	
	to measure and report on compliance with these	
	regulatory requirements.	

4.2 Due diligence assessment of on-shore and off-shore wind energy systems

A due diligence review is an instrument to measure risk. It is usually performed as part of a decision on an investment in projects and can cover a broad range of risks. It is recommended that due diligence assessments be carried out on sustainability aspects of new and operating power systems prior to investment decisions.

A due diligence review involves risk assessment. Tables 2, 3, and 4 below give risk ratings in terms of likelihood and consequence. Where risk ratings are medium or high, measures are required to ensure reduction or effective management of risk.

Level	Descriptor	Description
А	Almost certain	Is expected to occur in most circumstances
В	Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances
С	Moderate	Might occur at some time
D	Unlikely	Could occur at some time
Е	Very rare	May occur only in very exceptional circumstances

Table 2 – Likelihood

Table 3 - Consequence or Impact

Level	Descriptor	Examples	
1	Insignificant	Very minor environmental impact, e.g., occasional bird	
1	Insignificant	strike of abundant and non-threatened species	
9	Minor	Minor environmental impact, e.g., on-site release of	
2	MIIIOF	materials, contained with moderate clean-up costs	
9	Moderate	Medium level environmental impact requiring ongoing	
3		management or expensive modification of equipment	
4	Maian	Major environmental incident, high financial loss, high	
4	Major	probability of prosecution	
F	Catastrophic	Major environmental incident of national or even global	
С		significance, very high and long-term remediation costs	

Table 4 – Level of Risk

	Likelihood	Consequence				
	Likeimoou	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
	Level	1	2	3	4	5
А	Almost certain	Low	High	High	High	High
В	Likely	Low	Medium	High	High	High
С	Moderate	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
D	Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	High	High
Е	Very rare	Low	Low	Low	High	High

Table 5 details the main risk scenarios for a wind power project. In any due diligence exercise, these scenarios and associated management strategies should be risk assessed in accordance with the process outlined in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

Relevant Issues		Risk Scenarios	Management Strategies
1.	Regulatory	Absence of clear Strategic	Proponent carries out some level of
	environment	Assessments	baseline research.
		Seriously deficient or overly	Early agreement with regulators on
		complex environmental legislation	rules that apply to the project.
		Lack of understanding of	Process to identify all relevant
		regulatory requirements	regulatory compliance issues.
			Planning for measurement and
			reporting on compliance with these
			regulatory requirements.
2.	Economic viability	Inadequate or poor business case	Ensure thorough cost-benefit analysis
	of the project		and plans in place for ongoing
			monitoring of economic performance.
3.	Economic impact	Unacceptable detrimental impact	Identification and understanding
	on other existing or	on other permitted uses	of economic impacts on other
	proposed land or		uses. Negotiation and payment of
	marine uses near the		compensation where applicable.
	site of the project		
4.	Community and	Community opposition or loss of	Comprehensive stakeholder
	stakeholder support	support for the project	consultation and participation process.
	for the project		
5.	Safety issues and	Unacceptable levels of worker or	Thorough analysis of safety
	hazards	community safety	and hazard issues. Appropriate
			management and mitigation
			strategies planned or in place.
		Noncompliance with air traffic	Compliance with statutory,
		safety regulations	administrative, or contractual
			obligations concerning air traffic
			safety issues.
6.	Environmental	Inadequate environmental impact	Assessment should
	impact assessment	assessment	 thoroughly identify
			relevant issues
			• include appropriate levels
			of stakeholder consultation
			and
			recommend effective
			and community and
			regulator-supported
			mitigation strategies and/or
			compensation measures

Table 5 – Sustainability due diligence checklist

Re	elevant	Risk Scenarios	Management Strategies
7	Degree of	Unacceptable impacts to	Avoidance, or reduction to a
	environmental and	exceptional natural and/or	minimum, of alterations to
	social impacts	human heritage sites	ecological, cultural or heritage
			sites of exceptional national and
			international value.
		Limited opportunities and/or	Where project proposes threats to
		unacceptable threats to vulnerable	vulnerable social groups, plans are
		social groups	in place for appropriate social and
			cultural enhancement programs
			that will result in improved
			conditions for those groups.
		Broad environmental footprint	Adequate assessment of potential
		with significant aquatic and/or	impacts and appropriate use
		terrestrial ecological impacts	of avoidance, mitigation, or
			compensation strategies.
			Windfarms can be spread over large
			areas, but usually have limited impact
			on other land and marine use.
		Project threatens rare,	Location away from critical
		endangered, or migratory	habitat areas, and/or adequate
		species, eg, bird species	risk modelling to identify level
			of potential threat to rare or
		Other rare, threatened or endangered species issues also need	endangered bird species.
		to be assessed, for example, rare	Targeted management plans need
		plants, marine mammals, fish or	to be developed for species of
		seagrass beds	conservation significance.
		seagrass seas	conservation significancer
			Translocations or habitat
			rehabilitation may be options,
			along with identification of suitable
			habitat for 'reserve' management
			Monitoring should be conducted at
			regular intervals and form part of
			permit/licence conditions.

Relevant Issues	Risk Scenarios	Management Strategies
	Community complaints or regulatory opposition to project because of noise from power project infrastructure or construction activities. These complaints may relate to noise characteristics, (eg, volume and intensity), as well as duration, time, and place	An issue that needs to be thoroughly addressed, particularly when windfarm projects are sited near populated areas. Ensure the project complies with relevant regulatory standards Other mitigation strategies could include review of siting options and choice of turbines.
		Prior to construction a noise impact assessment can be conducted to model noise output from the wind farm based on a nominal turbine layout and information about prevailing background sound. This data can be used to generate an acceptable attenuation distance from noise generating infrastructure and dwellings. A noise buffer zone can protect affected property and can be designed to conform with relevant national and international standards. Mechanical tonal noise from modern wind turbines has been reduced to low levels.
	Unacceptable visual impacts in sensitive landscapes/seascapes	A more significant issue when project is close to populated areas or sited in areas with high scenic or recreational value. Mitigation measures are limited, but can include wind farm layout, and colour and structure of turbines.
		Good community consultation and participation, with appropriate representations of the future appearance of the windfarm, is often effective. Avoidance of areas with high scenic or recreational value is generally required.
		Construction of visitor interpretation facilities may add to public acceptance in locations where there are opportunities to build on the visitor experience through local tourism.

Re	elevant Issues	Risk Scenarios	Management Strategies
		Communications interference from wind farms may be a concern for defence, aircraft, telecommunications and radar installations, and can take several forms Interference may also extend to impacts on television services	Communications interference is more likely to be a problem if metallic wind turbine blades are used. The appropriate management strategies are stakeholder consultation and compliance with relevant guidelines.
8	Associated infrastructure	Inadequate assessment of, or unacceptable social and/or environmental impacts resulting from associated infrastructure, such as powerlines or roads	Adequate environmental and social impact assessment for associated infrastructure. Effective avoidance, mitigation,
9	Environmental management plans	Inadequate environmental management plans	Or compensation.Planning for, or implementationof, effective environmentalmanagement plans during theconstruction and operational phasesof the project.Management plans should includeprocedures for dealing withhazardous materials and waste.Storage handling, use and disposalof all materials should conform torelevant industry standards.Any chemicals or oils should beregistered for offshore use, forexample under the UK's OffshoreChemicals Notification Scheme.An emergency response planshould be developed, and stafftraining undertaken.Management plans need to dealwith marine construction issues.These plans should addresssediment dispersion and noise/vibration, which can impact onfish and mammal species. Bubblewalls and other strategies may needto be implemented to minimisedispersion of acoustic vibrationsthrough the water column.
10	Environmental	Inadequate environmental	Planning for, or implementation of, an
	management system	management system	effective environmental management system. Ideally, the system should be certified to a relevant international standard, eg, ISO 14001.

4.2.1 Legal & institutional arrangements

A fundamental aspect of managing risk associated with wind power scheme is to have processes in place that ensure compliance with all relevant laws, policies, permits, agreements and codes of practice.

These may include, but are not limited to:

- Electricity supply industry legislation;
- Environment protection legislation and associated regulatory standards and permits;
- Conservation and threatened species legislation;
- Cultural heritage and indigenous rights legislation;
- Coastal protection legislation;
- Occupational health and safety legislation;
- National, regional and local government policies;
- Corporate law requiring financial and environmental reporting;
- Relevant international laws, conventions and protocols; and
- Voluntary commitments and signed agreements.

Wind power operators should undertake an analysis of legal risks with the aim of developing appropriate response strategies, identifying activities that need to be managed, and determining priorities.

Investors in major long-term projects such as wind power developments need a reasonable degree of certainty regarding the on-going viability of their investments. Adjustments to operations may be required as new information becomes available and the results of monitoring programs are assessed. If governments introduce new environmental or resource usage objectives they should consider the impacts on the economic viability of wind power schemes, as well as other economic and social implications, and compensate accordingly. 5 managing environmental outcomes

5.1 Optimising environmental outcomes for wind power schemes

Windfarms can have a number of environmental impacts that need to be identified early and avoided, mitigated, or compensated. Effective environmental management over the life of the windfarm should ensure sustainable operation of the facility. Crucial in this process is the effectiveness of the initial environmental impact assessment.

5.1.1 Environmental assessment (EA) principles

Environmental assessments (also known as environmental impact assessments or environmental impact statements – EIAs and EISs) are conducted to inform decision makers of positive and negative effects of a project and associated mitigation measures.

WWEA's policy position is that Environmental Assessments (EAs) should be applied at the project level.

EAs should take account of higher-level national and/or regional policies and strategic assessments, including assessments already completed for potential wind farm sites. Initial screening should be conducted to determine if a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment by virtue of its nature, size or location.

EAs should be conducted for all on or offshore wind power projects that have the potential for significant impacts on the environment. EAs should be based on good science and factual information. They should be relevant to the scale and nature of the project in question and factor in existing information. WWEA members should apply appropriate procedures or codes of practice regarding stakeholder participation and environmental protection.

Stakeholders should be given opportunities to participate in decision-making processes. Their roles, and rights to access information, should be documented in language relevant to their needs.

WWEA supports transparency of process and co-ordination between the different sectors involved - government, developer and community interests. It recommends developers consult with local and national resource agencies, defence, telecommunications and coastal management authorities at the earliest opportunity to assist in the determination of the environmental issues to be addressed, the studies required, and to clarify the timelines that apply.

Regulatory authorities should have specified and reasonable timelines for their assessment and approval processes.

WWEA acknowledges that an EA for a large infrastructure project, such as a wind power scheme, takes place in a broad political, social and economic context. It is one step in a wider decision making process, and is generally written to provide authorities with the following information.

- A full description of the project;
- A statement of objectives, including clear targets and proposed indicators of success;
- A description of the existing environment in the area where the project is to be developed;
- Project justification, including evaluation of project alternatives;
- Economic, social and environmental considerations, including the consequences of not undertaking the project;
- Any mitigation measures that will be implemented to minimise environmental harm and / or enhance the environment; and

• A description of the stakeholder communication / consultation process.

WWEA supports post-construction auditing to measure performance against objectives, targets and proposed indicators of success detailed in the project EA.

A key element for public acceptance can be the negotiation of an agreement between the proponent and the local community on the nature and scope of the collaboration required to conduct the EA.

5.1.2 Environmental management systems

WWEA believes that wind power operators, as well as manufacturers of associated equipment, should adopt internationally recognised environmental management systems (such as ISO 14001).

The components of an environmental management system can be summarised as follows:

- Management Commitment;
- Environmental Policy;
- Environmental Aspects and Impacts;
- Objectives and Targets;
- Roles and Responsibilities;
- Planning and Programs;
- Regulatory Compliance;
- Document Control;
- Operational and Emergency Procedures;
- Training;
- Monitoring and Measuring; and
- Review (including environmental audits) and Improvement.

Wind power operators should also consider incorporating their EMS as part of a broader sustainability management and public reporting program. Open and continuous stakeholder consultation enhances longer-term relationships with the local community, regulators, and shareholders.

6 managing social outcomes

Wind energy projects contribute to employment generation and economic development, often in regional communities. Additionally, such projects promote infrastructure development and tourism, and have a flow-on benefit for local industry.

On a broader scale, access to electricity promotes new economic activity, empowers women by reducing domestic and repetitive chores such as firewood collection, improves health and education services, and provides a cleaner and healthier home environment.

6.1 The role for stakeholder and community consultation

The WWEA believes that the goal of social sustainability regarding wind energy projects will be achieved if adequate consultation is undertaken with stakeholders and those communities directly affected by projects. The following considerations should be taken into account when determining social aspects of sustainability;

- impacts on the community, stakeholders and the environment;
- stakeholders are informed about the project and the implications for them;
- the proposed project is the best alternative, following the consideration of relevant stakeholders concerns;
- a negotiated and agreed outcome is achieved wherever possible; and
- the community and environmental resources are managed in a sustainable way, and on-going monitoring and liaison with local community groups continues through the life of the project.

6.2 Gaining community acceptance – managing social impacts

There are various issues that require management to ensure that change affecting communities and individuals is effectively managed during the planning, construction and operation of wind power facilities. Possible social impacts that require consideration are identified below.

- Changes to resource use and biodiversity in the area of the proposed project and the impacts this may have on the local community.
- Distribution of benefits among affected parties.
- Effectiveness and on-going performance of compensatory and benefits programmes.

6.3 Gaining community acceptance – outcomes for new developments

When developing wind power projects, governments and proponents should aim to achieve the following outcomes.

- Providing affected communities with identifiable benefits, such as local cooperative ownership, pay-for-itself solutions and other types of investment opportunities.
- Ensuring that the local knowledge of communities and stakeholders is utilised and actively involved in project planning to minimise adverse impacts and maximise benefit outcomes.
- Supporting additional community infrastructure associated with the project, for example electricity connection, where positive benefits to the community will result.

6.4 Gaining community acceptance – strategies to achieve proposed outcomes

To enable the above outcomes to be achieved the following strategies are proposed.

The project proponent should ensure that:

- adequate consultation is undertaken, with relevant local, regional and national agencies consulted, and any legislation, regulations, codes of practice or guidelines of government agencies complied with;
- impacts on the community, stakeholders and the environment are identified and that stakeholders are informed about the project and the implications for them, as well as being regularly consulted throughout the planning and implementation phases;
- stakeholders who may be affected by the project are provided with the opportunity to be represented during the different phases of project development;
- those communities or individuals affected by the project are compensated for any loss caused by the project;
- a negotiated and agreed outcome is achieved wherever possible; and
- the community and environmental resources are managed in a sustainable way, and on-going monitoring and liaison with local community groups continues through the life of the project.

Broad community acceptance of a project, particularly in its early phases, will greatly assist in the successful implementation of that project. To achieve community acceptance, the following should be undertaken by the proponent and / or regulatory authorities.

• Ensure that benefits and costs of the project, including environmental, social and economic, are clearly identified, documented and disseminated to stakeholders.

- Identify stakeholders and impacted communities and provide them with the opportunity to have informed input into the decision making process. The community must view the process as being open, fair and inclusive.
- Affected stakeholders should participate in the development and implementation of mitigation measures.
- A process for addressing future concerns or risks from the project needs to be outlined to stakeholders at the start of the project.
- Specifically identify any minority and / or vulnerable groups and ensure that they are adequately represented in any consultation process and are not adversely impacted by the project.
- Communities and / or groups that are impacted by a project should be the first to benefit. These groups should also participate in the identification, planning and distribution of benefits, e.g. by offering them cooperative ownership or similar investment opportunities.
- Communities that will be affected should be compensated for their loss. This will include those persons or groups displaced by associated infrastructure developments, such as roads, those communities who experience loss of livelihood, and those who depend on common resources such as fisheries and agricultural land that might be altered by the project.
- Local and regional resources (particularly labour) should be utilised in the development and operation of the project. Local communities will then more readily see the benefits of the scheme to their community.
- Social compensation projects (such as new roads) should undergo appropriate environmental assessment.

7 managing economic outcomes

There can be no sustainable development without the demonstration of sound and equitable distribution of economic benefits. For this reason economic considerations are a central plank in the decision-making processes associated with wind power projects. The efficient use of economic resources requires that the best options are selected, that alternatives have been carefully evaluated, and that there are no hidden and unforeseen costs that could emerge in the future. This is the basis for sound economic practice.

All significant wind farm costs come at the construction stage. Once constructed, a wind project is virtually immune from further inflationary pressures for its planned economic life. Older wind power turbines can be replaced or decommissioned relatively cheaply. Wind power projects also have favourable energy payback periods (the amount of energy derived from the generating station compared with that put into its construction and operation).

With new developments, capital and operating costs should be taken into account over the lifetime of a project with a life-cycle assessment of project alternatives forming an integral component of assessment processes. Direct and indirect costs and benefits should be identified, and where possible quantified in monetary terms. The key principles are as follows and require a clear understanding of government and developer responsibilities.

7.1 Institutional framework

Governments need to establish a suitable investment climate and communicate this widely, in the process making known their project priorities. In particular, governments should ensure that:

- The legislative framework for decision making is one in which an investor can have confidence in terms of clarity, the impartiality of the legal process, and the ability to resolve disputes without undue costs or delay.
- An efficient institutional framework is in place to ensure that all parties concerned with the development of any project are fully aware of the factors of interest to themselves and that, as far as possible, unnecessary delay and conflict of interest are avoided.
- In determining project priorities, the longterm interests of the state should be taken into account, in terms of the selection of the preferred project and the finalisation of its ultimate configuration.
- Economic and financial analysis should take account of the effects of assumed interest rates, and some allowance taken of the needs for price escalation.

7.2 Identifying costs and benefits

Economic sustainability decisions should be based on a comprehensive evaluation of resources affected and project costs and benefits, some of which will be difficult to quantify in precise terms. As far as possible the following elements should be taken into account:

Costs

- Construction, operations and maintenance costs should be fully detailed, recognising the split between foreign and local currency, financing options and the anticipated exposure that these might give in terms of exchange rate variation.
- The full capital and recurrent costs of environmental and social mitigation plans should be included.

Benefits

- Allowance should be made of the accrued benefits at a national or regional level, including any additional taxes, industrial development and improved infrastructure or multiple use benefits that could be attributed to the project.
- Recognition of savings on greenhouse gas emissions, and improved local air quality, to the extent that this can be quantified.
- Where feasible, allowance should be made for benefits that accrue to local communities including integrated local renewable energy supply systems and ownership, job creation, local industry, investment opportunities, and tourism.

7.3 Allocation of benefits

The principal stakeholders in any project are future owners, the developer, the electricity user/ supplier (if different), governments, financing agencies, communities, investors and individuals directly affected by the scheme. These stakeholders should be identified early in the planning and development approval process and their legitimate interests acknowledged and taken into account in the financial and economic evaluation processes.

The above objectives imply the need for the following:

- Balanced commercial agreements in the case of privately funded projects
- Reasonable returns on equity, consistent with the risk profile and international norms
- Transparency in procurement processes
- Directly negotiated contracts to be subject to independent audit
- Ongoing auditing/monitoring of economic performance against projected benefits.

8 wwea member commitment to sustainability

Wind power, provided that it is developed and operated in a sustainable manner, will play an important role in helping to address some of the major global challenges of the twenty first century.

One of these challenges is to alleviate poverty and increase living standards through the provision of affordable access to electricity and basic services. This is a necessary step towards achieving more equity between different socio-economic groups within nations, and between developed and developing nations.

Another major challenge is global warming. This is the world's most pressing environmental issue and requires the increasing development of less carbon intensive methods of energy production.

A third challenge is the security of energy supply in all parts of the world, having in mind that fossil as well as nuclear resources are facing significant constraints and even depletion.

On all of the three counts wind power, especially in combination with other renewable energy forms and appropriate storage facilities, is making, and will continue to make, a significant contribution.

WWEA members are owners, operators and developers of wind power projects. They are committed, through their membership of WWEA, to the underlying principles of sustainability outlined in this document.

9 вівLіоскарну

- 1. An Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Offshore Wind Farms, ETSU W/35/0053/REP; Contractor Metoc Plc.
- 2. AusWea 2002; Best Practice Guidelines for Implementation of Wind Energy Projects in Australia.
- 3. Blanke Meier Evers (Undated); The Legal Framework of Wind Projects, Country Reports Germany, Spain and Sweden.
- 4. European Renewable Energies Federation (Undated); Good Planning Guide for Renewable Energy Installations A guideline for overcoming obstacles in administrative planning procedures in Europe.
- 5. International Energy Agency 2002; World Energy Outlook 2002.
- 6. International Hydropower Association; Sustainability Guidelines 2004.
- 7. Sustainable Energy Authority Victoria 2003; Policy and planning guidelines for development of wind energy facilities in Victoria.
- 8. World Summit on Sustainable Development; 2002; A Framework for Action on Energy, WEHAB Working Group, August 2002.

acknowLedgements

This draft has been prepared by Andrew Scanlon, Stefan Gsänger, Robert Davies, Josh Bradshaw, Ruth Kile, and Barry Blumstein.

feedback

Comments or suggestions in relation to this draft should be forwarded to:

Andrew Scanlon +61+3+6230-5522 andrew.scanlon@hydro.com.au